Review Article

Volume 3, Issue I 2026
Journal Home Page: https://farpublisher.com/journals/
Page: 54-60
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19415889

Received: 15-01-2026 **Accept Date: 19-02-2026** **Published: 04-04-2026**

PERSISTENT UNCERTAINTY IN AFRICA: POSTCOLONIAL POWER, GOVERNANCE FAILURES, AND DEVELOPMENTAL CHALLENGES

BY
Valentine Bonus Ngororo,[i] Joselini Valentini Heberti,[ii] Amos Julius Kasonyi,[iii] Zakia Kawambwa,[iv] Seraphine Calist Komu,[v] Tausi Kuluchumila Mtebe[vi] Yusuph Daud Matondo[vii]

Abstract

Socioeconomic development and the transition of political power remain central concerns in social, economic, and political discourse across Africa. While scholars and policymakers have identified both internal and external constraints to development, this paper focuses on the internal structural factors that sustain political and developmental uncertainty on the continent. In particular, it examines the role of intellectual elites in reinforcing minority power structures, rapid demographic growth, and the absence of clear and institutionalized mechanisms for political succession.

The study adopts a qualitative research design based on philosophical and interpretive analysis, drawing on the postcolonial theory of Achille Mbembe to analyze the nature of power and governance in Africa. Mbembe's conceptualization of the postcolony provides a framework for understanding how informal networks of authority, patronage, and coercion undermine institutional stability and democratic accountability.

The findings suggest that Africa's persistent uncertainty is not solely a legacy of colonialism but is also reproduced through internal governance failures, including weak institutions, elite domination, and the erosion of intellectual independence. The paper argues that sustainable development requires strategic investment in human capital, the strengthening of accountability mechanisms, and the establishment of transparent systems for political transition. Without such reforms, challenges such as political instability, corruption, unconstitutional changes of government and socio-economic inequality are likely to persist.

Key Words: Postcolony, necropolitics, political authority, development ethics, state power, institutional legitimacy

1.0 Introduction

More than six decades after the wave of political independence that swept across the African continent in the mid-twentieth century, the question of Africa's developmental trajectory remains a central concern in political and development studies. Despite significant natural resources, demographic dynamism, and strategic geopolitical importance, many African states continue to experience persistent political instability, economic inequality, and institutional fragility. These conditions have generated what may be described as a state of structural uncertainty, in which the prospects for stable governance and sustainable development remain contested. The persistence of this uncertainty raises an important intellectual question: why has the promise of postcolonial transformation remained only partially realized in many African societies? While colonial legacies and global economic structures have undeniably shaped Africa's development path, internal political and institutional dynamics also play a crucial role. In particular, governance failures, the concentration of political power, the erosion of institutional accountability, and the marginalization of intellectual independence have contributed to the reproduction of uncertainty across the continent.

The Ghanaian political economist George B. N. Ayittey provides one of the most influential critiques of post-independence governance in Africa. In his book "*Africa Unchained: The Blueprint for Africa's Future*," Ayittey argues that many African leaders adopted foreign political and economic models without sufficiently adapting them to indigenous institutional realities. This process, which he describes as the "so-too-must-we syndrome," involved the imitation of Western political systems, economic structures, and developmental strategies without considering their compatibility with local social and cultural contexts.[1] Such institutional imitation often produced fragile governance systems lacking deep societal legitimacy. For example, military regimes such as that of Ibrahim Babangida attempted to engineer political party systems through state intervention rather than through organic democratic

[1]George Ayittey, *Africa Unchained:The Blueprint for African's Future,* 1st Ed.(New York: Palgraue Macmillan, 2006), 84-85.

processes. These arrangements frequently undermined institutional credibility and reinforced authoritarian tendencies.

At the same time, Africa's contemporary challenges must be understood within a rapidly changing global environment characterized by technological transformation, economic globalization, and geopolitical competition. While many regions of the world are adapting to emerging technological revolutions such as artificial intelligence and digital economies, several African states remain preoccupied with internal conflicts and governance crises. Persistent instability in countries such as the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Somalia, South Sudan, and Sudan continues to divert political attention and economic resources away from long-term development priorities. This article argues that Africa's persistent uncertainty cannot be understood solely through external explanations such as colonialism or globalization. Rather, it emerges from a complex interaction between institutional fragility, governance failures, demographic pressures, and intellectual complicity within political systems. By examining these interconnected factors, the article seeks to contribute to the broader debate on Africa's developmental trajectory and the conditions necessary for sustainable political transformation.

2.0 Literature Review

The question of Africa's persistent political and developmental challenges has generated extensive scholarly debate across disciplines such as political philosophy, development studies, and postcolonial theory. This section reviews key contributions from major scholars, including Achille Mbembe, Frantz Fanon, and George B. N. Ayittey, whose works provide important analytical frameworks for understanding governance, power, and institutional dynamics in postcolonial Africa.

One of the most influential early critiques of postcolonial African leadership is found in the work of Frantz Fanon, particularly in his seminal text "*The Wretched of the Earth*." Fanon offers a powerful analysis of the failures of the postcolonial ruling class, which he describes as the "national bourgeoisie." According to Fanon, this class inherited political power from colonial authorities but lacked the economic and institutional capacity to transform society. Instead of fostering genuine development, the national bourgeoisie often became dependent on external economic structures while prioritizing its own material interests.[2] Fanon argues that this elite class failed to create productive economic systems and instead engaged in what he describes as a form of "mimicry" of colonial institutions.[3] As a result, postcolonial states reproduced many of the structural inequalities and authoritarian tendencies of the colonial system. This critique is particularly relevant for understanding the persistence of weak institutions and elite-driven politics in many African countries.

Building on this postcolonial critique, Achille Mbembe provides a more refined and contemporary analysis of African political systems in "*On the Postcolony*." Mbembe challenges simplistic interpretations of African governance by emphasizing the complexity and hybridity of postcolonial power structures.[4] He argues that power in the postcolony is not exercised solely through formal institutions but also through informal networks, symbolic practices, and everyday interactions between rulers and subjects. Mbembe introduces the concept of "commandment" to describe the authoritarian logic of governance inherited from colonial rule. This form of power is characterized by coercion, arbitrariness, and a lack of accountability.[5] Importantly, Mbembe highlights that postcolonial subjects are not merely passive victims of domination; rather, they often participate in and reproduce systems of power through practices of compliance, negotiation, and resistance.[6] This insight is crucial for understanding the persistence of political systems that appear simultaneously oppressive and socially embedded. In addition, Mbembe's notion of "necropolitics" expands the analysis of political power by focusing on the ways in which states exercise control over life and death. In contexts of conflict, repression, and political exclusion, the state may determine whose lives are protected and whose lives are rendered disposable.[7] This framework is particularly relevant for analyzing ongoing conflicts and governance crises in various parts of Africa.

While Fanon and Mbembe provide critical theoretical perspectives, George B. N. Ayittey offers a more policy-oriented critique of African governance in works such as "*Africa Unchained: The Blueprint for Africa's Future*." Ayittey emphasizes the role of political elites in undermining development through corruption, authoritarianism, and the monopolization of state resources. He argues that many African leaders abandoned indigenous systems of governance that

[2]Frantz Fanon, *Wretched Earth* (New York: Grove Weidenfeld, 1963), 47.

[3]Fanon, *Wretched Earth*, 295 & 310.

[4]Chile Mbembe, *On the Postcolony* (Berkely: University Of California Press, 2001),17.

[5] Mbembe, *On Postcolony*, 25 & 26.

[6]Mbembe, *On Postcolony*, 5

[7] Achile Mbembe, *Necropolitics,* Translated by Steven Corconar (Durham: Duke Univerisity Press, 2019),66.

were based on accountability and community participation, replacing them with centralized systems that facilitated personal enrichment. A central concept in Ayittey's analysis is the "so-too-must-we syndrome," which refers to the uncritical imitation of foreign political and economic models. According to Ayittey, this tendency resulted in the adoption of institutions that were poorly suited to African contexts, thereby contributing to institutional fragility and governance failures. His work highlights the importance of context-sensitive development strategies that are rooted in local realities rather than externally imposed models.

In addition to these scholars, dependency theorists such as Samir Amin have emphasized the role of global economic structures in shaping Africa's development trajectory. Amin argues that Africa's integration into the global capitalist system has often been characterized by unequal exchange and structural dependency, which limit the continent's capacity for autonomous development. [8] While this perspective highlights important external constraints, it has been criticized for underestimating the role of domestic political factors. Taken together, these scholarly contributions reveal that Africa's persistent uncertainty cannot be explained by a single theoretical framework. Rather, it emerges from the interaction of internal governance failures, postcolonial power structures, and external economic dependencies. Fanon highlights the limitations of postcolonial elites, Mbembe provides a sophisticated analysis of the nature of power in the postcolony, and Ayittey emphasizes the role of leadership and institutional choices in shaping development outcomes.

Therefore, this study builds upon these perspectives by integrating their insights into a comprehensive analysis of Africa's political and developmental challenges. While acknowledging the importance of global structural factors, the article places particular emphasis on the internal dynamics of governance, institutional legitimacy, and intellectual responsibility as key determinants of Africa's persistent uncertainty.

3.0 Theoretical Framework: Postcolonial Power and the Logic of Governance in Africa

This article adopts a theoretical perspective grounded in postcolonial political theory, particularly the work of Achille Mbembe. Mbembe's analysis of postcolonial African politics provides a conceptual framework for understanding the persistence of authoritarian governance, institutional fragility, and political uncertainty across the continent. His influential work "*On the Postcolony*" offers a critical interpretation of the ways in which power operates within postcolonial African states. Mbembe argues that the postcolonial state is characterized by a complex relationship between power, authority, and subjectivity. Rather than functioning as a rational bureaucratic institution designed to serve the public interest, the postcolonial state often operates through personalized networks of domination, patronage, and symbolic power.[9] In this context, political authority is frequently concentrated in the hands of ruling elites who use state institutions not primarily for public governance but for the consolidation of personal power and the distribution of patronage.

Central to Mbembe's analysis is the concept of "commandement," a form of political authority inherited from colonial administrative structures. Under colonial rule, power was exercised through coercion, hierarchical control, and limited accountability to local populations. Mbembe argues that many postcolonial regimes reproduced similar forms of authority, thereby perpetuating a political culture in which the state is perceived not as a collective institution but as an instrument of domination. [10] As a result, political leaders often govern through practices of intimidation, clientelism, and symbolic displays of power. Mbembe further introduces the concept of necropolitics, which refers to the capacity of political authorities to determine who may live and who may die.[11] In contexts of political instability, armed conflict, or authoritarian rule, the state may exercise power through the management of violence, exclusion, and social marginalization. This framework is particularly relevant for understanding persistent conflicts and governance crises in several African states, where political authority is frequently maintained through coercive control rather than democratic legitimacy.

Within this theoretical perspective, Africa's persistent uncertainty can be interpreted as the outcome of structural tensions between formal institutional frameworks and informal networks of political power. Although many African states possess constitutional systems modeled on democratic principles, the actual exercise of power often occurs through informal patronage systems that undermine institutional accountability. Consequently, democratic institutions may exist in form but remain weak in practice. Mbembe's framework also highlights the symbolic dimensions of political authority in the

[8] Samir Amin, *Imperialism and Unequal Development* (New York: Montly Review Press, 1997), 14.

[9] Mbembe, *On the Postcolony* , 78-79.

[10] Mbembe, *On the Postcolony*, 26.

[11] Mbembe, *Necropolitics,*66.

postcolony.[12] Political leaders often construct elaborate narratives of legitimacy through nationalist rhetoric, historical symbolism, and personalized leadership styles. Such symbolic practices reinforce the centrality of the political leader while simultaneously obscuring institutional weaknesses within the state.

Applying Mbembe's theoretical insights to the present analysis allows for a deeper understanding of the structural dynamics that sustain political uncertainty in Africa. The persistence of authoritarian governance, the monopolization of economic resources by political elites, and the manipulation of state institutions can all be interpreted as manifestations of the postcolonial logic of power described by Mbembe. By situating Africa's contemporary governance challenges within this theoretical framework, this study seeks to move beyond purely descriptive explanations and instead provide a conceptual analysis of the political structures that continue to shape the continent's developmental trajectory.

4.0 Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative and conceptual approach to examine the persistent condition of political and developmental uncertainty in Africa. Rather than relying on statistical or quantitative methods, the research employs 'philosophical' and 'interpretive analysis' to critically evaluate the structural and ideological factors shaping governance and development on the continent. The purpose of this approach is to explore the underlying political logic and institutional dynamics that influence the trajectory of African states. The methodological orientation of the study is primarily grounded in 'conceptual analysis,'[13] which seeks to clarify and interpret key political concepts such as governance, political authority, institutional legitimacy, and development. Conceptual analysis is widely used in political philosophy and social theory because it allows researchers to examine how ideas, structures, and institutions interact within broader socio-political contexts. Through this approach, the study critically conceptualizes, analyses, evaluates and interprets the theoretical insights of major scholars and applies them to contemporary African political realities.[14]

In particular, the study draws upon the theoretical insights of Achille Mbembe and his analysis of postcolonial political authority presented in "*On the Postcolony*." Mbembe's conceptualization of the postcolonial state, including the notions of 'commandement' and 'necropolitics," provides an analytical lens for understanding how power operates within postcolonial political systems. These theoretical concepts are used to interpret patterns of authoritarian governance, institutional fragility, and political patronage across various African contexts. In addition to theoretical interpretation, the study employs 'critical textual analysis' of secondary scholarly sources, policy reports, and historical accounts related to African governance and development. Works by scholars such as George B. N. Ayittey provide empirical and analytical insights into governance failures, economic mismanagement, and political elite behavior in post-independence Africa. By integrating these sources, the study constructs a critical narrative that situates contemporary political challenges within broader historical and institutional processes.

The methodological strategy therefore combines theoretical interpretation and contextual analysis. This allows the study to examine not only the institutional structures of African states but also the political practices and ideological frameworks that shape governance outcomes. Through this interpretive framework, the research aims to provide a deeper understanding of the mechanisms that sustain political uncertainty in Africa and to contribute to ongoing debates in African political thought and development studies. Although this research relies primarily on conceptual and interpretive analysis, it also draws on illustrative examples from selected African countries in order to contextualize the theoretical discussion. These examples are not intended to provide exhaustive empirical case studies but rather to demonstrate how broader political patterns manifest within specific national contexts.

5.0 Analysis and Discussion

5.1 Governance and the Crisis of Political Leadership

One of the central factors contributing to Africa's persistent uncertainty is the crisis of political leadership that emerged in many post-independence states. After gaining independence, several African leaders sought to consolidate national unity and accelerate economic development through centralized political authority. In some cases, this resulted in the creation of dominant-party systems or military regimes that limited political competition and weakened democratic institutions.Ayittey describes this phenomenon as "cultural betrayal,"referring to the abandonment of indigenous systems of accountability and participatory governance in favor of centralized state structures inherited from colonial administrations.

[12] Mbembe, *On the Postcolony*, 82.

[13] Paul Hager, " Russell`s Method of Analysis," in *The Cambridge Companion to Betrand Russell,* Edited by Nicholas Griffin (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003), 316.

[14] Mohammad Hassan, "Critical Analysis: Types, examples and writing guide,' *Researchmethods. Net,* Nov. 01, 2023. https://researchmethod.net/critical-analysis/.

Instead of building institutions rooted in local political traditions, many postcolonial governments concentrated power within narrow political elites.

This concentration of authority often produced authoritarian governance systems in which political leaders manipulated constitutional frameworks in order to maintain power. [15] The experience of Yoweri Museveni illustrates the complexity of this phenomenon. When Museveni assumed power in 1986, he famously argued that Africa's primary problem was not its people but leaders who overstayed in power.[16] However, decades later, his own prolonged presidency has become part of the broader debate concerning democratic accountability and leadership renewal in Africa.Consequently, authoritarian governance frequently relies on coercion, repression, and patronage networks to maintain political control. In such systems, political loyalty often becomes more important than institutional competence, thereby weakening administrative capacity and undermining public trust in government institutions.For instance, in Uganda, constitutional amendments removing presidential term and age limits have enabled prolonged incumbency. This reflects what Mbembe conceptualizes as commandement, where political authority is personalized and sustained through institutional manipulation rather than democratic legitimacy.

5.2 Inequality, Poverty, and the Political Economy of Power

Persistent poverty and economic inequality remain among the most pressing challenges confronting African societies. According to data from the World Bank, a significant proportion of the global population living in extreme poverty is expected to reside in Africa in the coming decades. While some African economies have experienced periods of rapid economic growth, the benefits of this growth have often been unevenly distributed.[17]A key factor behind this inequality is the monopolization of political and economic power by ruling elites. In many states, access to political office provides direct control over state resources, public contracts, and economic opportunities. As a result, political competition frequently revolves around the control of national wealth rather than the formulation of development policies. Ayittey confirming this arguing that in several African countries, politics has effectively become the principal route to economic enrichment.[18] This dynamic transforms political competition into a zero-sum struggle in which access to power determines access to wealth. Consequently, political instability and corruption become structural features of governance rather than temporary deviations. A similar pattern can be observed in Nigeria, where oil revenues have frequently been concentrated among political elites, contributing to persistent inequality despite significant national wealth. This illustrates how control over state resources reinforces elite dominance and limits inclusive development.

5.3 Intellectual Responsibility and Political Patronage

The role of intellectuals within African political systems raises important ethical and institutional questions. In principle, intellectuals serve as critical interpreters of social reality and contribute to the development of informed public debate. However, in contexts where political patronage determines access to professional opportunities, intellectual independence may become compromised. In Tanzania, for example, the term "uchawa" has entered public discourse to describe excessive political flattery or sycophancy toward those in power.[19] This phenomenon reflects a broader tension between intellectual integrity and political patronage within many postcolonial societies. When intellectuals align themselves with political elites in pursuit of personal advancement, they risk legitimizing governance failures and weakening democratic accountability. The erosion of intellectual independence has broader consequences for public policy. Without critical academic engagement, governments may operate without effective scrutiny, thereby allowing corruption, mismanagement, and institutional decline to persist. In Tanzania, public discourse surrounding "uchawa" highlights growing concern over the role of intellectuals in legitimizing political authority. This phenomenon demonstrates how intellectual complicity

[15] Mamman Saba Abdulkadir, et al., "Africa's Slow Growth and Development: An Overview of Selected Countries," *European Journal of Social Science*16, no.4 (2010): 627-628.

[16]Nkwazi Mhango, "An Open Letter to Ugandan President Museveni,"*The Citizen*, (Wednesday, 31 January, 2018), 12.https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/magazines/political-reforms/an-open-letter-to-ugandan-president-museveni-2621564.

[17] Ashley Kirk, *What Africa will look like in 100 years*, Retrieved from s.telegram.com.uk/graphics/projects/Africa-in-100years.

[18] George Ayittey, *Applied Economics for Africa*(Arlington, USA: Atlas Network in association with africaliberty.org., 2018), 319.

[19] Francis Nyonzo, "Uchawa Vs. Professional Networking: Understanding Key Differences," Agust 20, 2024. https://thechanzo.com/2024/08/20/uchawa-vs-professional-networking-understanding-key-differences/.

can reinforce existing power structures rather than critically challenge them.

5.4 Demographic Transformation and Urban Pressures

Africa's demographic expansion represents one of the most significant structural transformations of the twenty-first century. Population projections suggest that the continent's population could exceed four billion by the end of the century. While this growth presents potential economic opportunities, it also creates significant challenges for governments seeking to provide employment, housing, education, and healthcare. Rapid urbanization has already produced major demographic shifts across the continent. Many African cities are expanding at unprecedented rates, often without the infrastructure necessary to support growing populations. Informal settlements, unemployment, and social inequality are therefore becoming increasingly visible features of urban life. If effectively managed, however, demographic expansion could generate a demographic dividend in which a large working-age population stimulates economic productivity and innovation. Achieving such outcomes requires sustained investments in education, industrial development, and institutional reform. Cities such as Lagos and Nairobi exemplify rapid urban expansion, where population growth has outpaced infrastructure development. The proliferation of informal settlements in these urban centers highlights the challenges of managing demographic change in the absence of adequate planning.

5.5 Economic Growth and Structural Limitations

In recent decades, several African economies have recorded impressive macroeconomic growth rates.[20] Countries such as Rwanda, Ethiopia, Tanzania, and Angola have frequently been cited as examples of rapid economic expansion. However, aggregate growth indicators such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP) often conceal structural economic weaknesses. When population growth remains high and economic benefits are concentrated among political elites, improvements in living standards remain limited. Furthermore, a significant proportion of employment in Sub-Saharan Africa occurs within informal sectors characterized by low productivity and limited social protection.[21] As a result, the widely discussed narrative of "Africa rising" must be interpreted with caution. Without structural transformation in sectors such as manufacturing, education, and technological innovation, economic growth may remain largely statistical rather than transformative. For example, despite sustained economic growth in Rwanda, questions remain regarding the inclusiveness of development and the extent to which growth translates into broad-based improvements in living standards. This underscores the limitations of relying solely on aggregate growth indicator.

6.0 Conclusion

Philosophically speaking, Africa's persistent uncertainty cannot be attributed to a single historical or political factor. Rather, it emerges from the interaction of governance failures, institutional fragility, demographic pressures, and unequal economic structures. While external forces have undoubtedly shaped Africa's development trajectory, many contemporary challenges originate within 'domestic political systems' characterized by weak accountability and concentrated power. Nevertheless, the continent's future remains open. Strengthening democratic institutions, promoting transparency and accountability, investing in human capital, and protecting intellectual independence represent crucial steps toward sustainable development. If such reforms are pursued with seriousness and consistency, Africa possesses the potential to transform its current condition of uncertainty into a foundation for stable and inclusive growth.

REFERENCES

Abdulkadir, Mamman Saba, A. A Jayum and Zaid Ahmad, "Africa's Slow Growth and Development: An Overview of Selected Countries,"*European Journal of Social Science*16, no. 4 (2010): 627-628.

Amin, Samir. *Imperialism and Unequal Development*. New York: Montly Review Press, 1997.

Ayittey, George. *Africa Unchained: The Blueprint for African's Future*, 1st Edition.New York: Palgraue Macmillan, 2006.

------. *Applied Economics for Africa.* Arlington, USA: Atlas Network in association with africaliberty.org. 2018.

[20] Ruth Maclean, "Economic Growth Failing to Deliver Prosperity for many Africa, Study Says.,"*The Guardian/News.* Jun. 1, 2016. https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2016/jun/01/economic-growth-failing-to-deliver-prosperity-for-many-africans-study-says.

[21] Jostein Hauge, "Africa's economic 'rise' does not reflect reality,"*The Guardian*, (Sept. 3, 2014), https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/poverty-matters/2014/sep/03/africa-economic-rise-does-not-reflect-reality.

Fanon, Frantz.*Wretched Earth.* New York: Grove Weidenfeld, 1963.

Hager, Paul. "Russell`s Method of Analysis," in *The Cambridge Companion to Betrand Russell*, Edited by Nicholas Griffin. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Hassan, Mohammad. "Critical Analysis: Types, examples and writing guide," *Researchmethods. Net*, Nov. 01, 2023. https://researchmethod.net/critical-analysis/.

Hauge, Jostein. "Africa's economic 'rise' does not reflect reality.*"The Guardian*. Sept. 3, 2014. https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/poverty-matters/2014/sep/03/africa-economic-rise-does-not-reflect-reality.

Kirk,Ashley.*What Africa will look like in 100 years*, Retrieved from s.telegram.com.uk/graphics/projects/Africa-in-100years.

Maclean, Ruth. "Economic Growth Failing to Deliver Prosperity for many Africa, Study Says."*The Guardian*. Jun. 1, 2016. https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2016/jun/01/economic-growth-failing-to-deliver-prosperity-for-many-africans-study-says.

Mbembe, Achile. *Necropolitics.* Translated by Steven Corconar. Durham: Duke Univerisity Press, 2019.

------. *On the Postcolony.* Berkely: University Of California Press, 2001.

Mhango, Nkwazi. "An Open Letter to Ugandan President Museveni.*" The Citizen*. Wednesday, 31 January, 2018. https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/magazines/political-reforms/an-open-letter-to-ugandan-president-museveni-2621564.

Nyonzo, Francis. *Uchawa Vs. Professional Networking: Understanding Key Differences.* Agust 20, 2024. https://thechanzo.com/2024/08/20/uchawa-vs-professional-networking-understanding-key-differences/.

[i]He holds a Bachelor of Arts in Philosophy with Education from St. Augustine University and is currently a researcher and educator. valentinengororo@gmail.com

[ii]He is a tenured faculty member in the Faculty of Education at the Open University of Tanzania, where he serves as an Assistant Lecturer in the Department of Educational Foundations, Adult and Distance Education. He holds an MA in Philosophy (Jordan University College, Morogoro, Tanzania) and a BA in Philosophy with Education from St. Augustine University of Tanzania. joseliniheberti97@gmail.com

[iii]He is working with the Prime Minister's office, Tanzania and a visiting instructor in the National Defence College, Tanzania. He holds an MA in Philosophy (Jordan University College, Morogoro, Tanzania) and a BA in Philosophy from Jordan University of Tanzania. amoskasonyi@gmail.com

[iv]She is a tenured Faculty member in Social Sciences at the Open University of Tanzania. She holds a BA in History and is currently a Master`s Student at the University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

[v]He serves as a faculty member in the department of Philosophy and Ethics at St. Augustine University of Tanzania, where he serves as a Lecturer. He is currently a PhD candidate at Jordan University College. He holds an MA in Philosophy (Jordan University College, Morogoro, Tanzania), an MA in Rural Development (Sokoine University of Agriculture) and a BA in Philosophy (Pontifical Urbanian University, Rome, Italy). seraphinekomu@gmail.com

[vi]She serves as a Faculty member in St. Augustine University of Tanzania under the department of philosophy and ethics. She holds an MA in Philosophy (Jordan University College, Morogoro, Tanzania) and a BA in Philosophy with Education from St. Augustine University of Tanzania.

[vii]He is a tenured faculty member in the Faculty of Social Sciences at the Open University of Tanzania, in the Department of History and Political Science. He holds a BA in Education majoring in History and Kiswahili (Mwl. Nyerere Memorial University). yusuphgwantiaro94@gmail.com